UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,818	09/17/2003	Toshiaki Hata	Q77067	4040
65565 7590 08/31/2007 SUGHRUE-265550			EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW			AU, SCOTT D	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/663,818	HATA, TOSHIAKI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Scott Au	2612				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE INSIDE IN THE MAILING DATE IN SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the property of t	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mind, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. \$ 133).				
Status		•					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	une 2007.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-16</u> is/are allowed.						
·	Di⊠ Claim(s) <u>17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) 18 and 20 is/are objected to.						
8)∟	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		n received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachmen	t(e)						
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notic	Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
<u> </u>		·, <u> </u>					

Application/Control Number: 10/663,818

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is in response to applicant's response to RCE which is filed June 22, 2007.

An amendment to the claims 1-6 and 8-14 have been entered and made of record. The new set claims 15-20 are introduced.

Claims 1-6 and 8-20 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al. (US# 5,977,654) in view of Onuma et al. (US# 6,876,292).

Referring to claims 17 and 19, Johnson et al. disclose a burglarproof device and method for a vehicle comprising: a portable transmitter (60) (i.e. transmitter) having a first switch (64) (i.e. switch) which transmits a preset first ID code; an activation unit (28) (i.e. control portion) for the vehicle which receives the first ID code from the portable transmitter and collates the first ID code with a prestored second ID code, such that a locked state of a vehicle operation device for the vehicle is released when the activation

Art Unit: 2612

unit receives the first ID code; and an engine operation restraining unit which disables an engine operation based on a signal from the activation unit (col. 2 lines 23-25 and col. 5 lines 25-32), and wherein the transmission of the preset first ID code by the portable transmitter to the activation unit is a final communication between the portable transmitter and the activation unit that causes the activation unit to release the vehicle operation device and causes the activation unit to send the signal to the engine operation restraining unit to disable the engine operation (col. 5 lines 25-32).

However, Johnson et al. did not explicitly disclose wherein the signal from the activation unit is sent after the vehicle device has been released in response to the receipt of the first ID code by the activation unit.

In the same field of endeavor of vehicle system, Onuma et al. disclose the door unlock ID code is prior to the starting engine ID code (col. 5 lines 17-30).

One ordinary skill in the art understands that the door unlock ID code is prior to the starting engine ID code of Onuma et al. is desirable in the vehicle system of Johnson et al. because both Johnson et al. and Onuma et al. teach the security operation of a vehicle. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the door unlock ID code is prior to the starting engine ID code of Onuma et al. into vehicle operating system of Johnson et al. with the motivation for doing so would allow the security of burgler prevention.

Art Unit: 2612

Claim Objections

Claims 18 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Referring to claims 18 and 20, the following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fail to suggest limitations that wherein the signal to disable the engine operation is sent by the activation unit if a time period between the release of the vehicle operation device by the activation unit and a detection of a start of the engine operation exceeds a predetermined time period.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-6 and 8-16 are allowed.

Referring to claims 1 and 12, the following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fail to suggest limitations that "wherein the signal to disable the engine operation is sent by the activation unit if a time period between the release of the vehicle operation device by the activation unit and a detection of a start of the engine operation exceeds a predetermined time period".

Regarding claims 2-6 and 8-15 are allowed because the claims are dependent upon claims 1 and 12.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications form the examiner should be directed to Scott Au whose telephone number is (571) 272-3063. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30AM – 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Hofsass can be reached at (571) 272-2981. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571)-272-1817.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-3050.

Scott Au Examiner Art Unit 2612

> JEFFERY HUFSASS SUPERMISORY PATENT EXAMINES VECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600